

1  
2  
3  
4  
5                   UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
6                   WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
7                   AT SEATTLE

8                   MOHAMMED EGYPT1, AMINA  
9                   EGYPT1, and NEFERTARI EGYPT1,

10                  Plaintiffs,

11                  v.

12                  KRISTI NOEM, Secretary of the  
13                  Department of Homeland Security;  
14                  DANIELLE LEHMAN, Director of the  
15                  San Francisco Asylum Office, United  
16                  States Citizenship and Immigration  
17                  Services; LEANNE LEIGH, Director of  
18                  the San Francisco Asylum Office,  
19                  United States Citizenship and  
20                  Immigration Services; DEPARTMENT  
21                  OF HOMELAND SECURITY; UNITED  
22                  STATES CITIZENSHIP AND  
23                  IMMIGRATION SERVICES; and KIKA  
                     SCOTT, Acting Director, United States  
                     Citizenship and Immigration Services,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 2:25-cv-00282-JNW  
ORDER

This matter comes before the Court on two motions. First, Plaintiffs  
Mohammed Egypt1, Amina Egypt1, and Nefertari Egypt1 moved to proceed under  
these pseudonyms. Dkt. No. 7. Plaintiffs argue good cause exists for their motion

1 because, as asylum applicants, they risk persecution by publicizing their identities.  
2 *Id.* at 3–5. Plaintiffs’ counsel also certifies that “Defendants have no position with  
3 regard to this motion.” *Id.* at 3. Because there is good cause to keep Plaintiffs’  
4 names under seal and because Defendants do not oppose Plaintiffs’ motion, the  
5 Court GRANTS Plaintiffs’ motion to allow the case to proceed under pseudonym.

6 Second, the parties ask the Court to hold the case in abeyance until October  
7 9, 2025. Dkt. No. 9. The parties request a stay to allow time to resolve the case  
8 without further judicial intervention. Because there is good cause to stay this  
9 matter, the Court GRANTS the parties’ stipulated motion at Dkt. No. 9. If the case  
10 is not resolved by October 9, 2025, the parties must file a joint status report with  
11 the Court no later than October 9, 2025, explaining the status of the case.

12  
13 Dated this 6th day of May, 2025.

14  
15   
16 Jamal N. Whitehead  
17 United States District Judge  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23